

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/667,358 | | 09/23/2003 | Kenneth M. Comess | 6983USP1 | 3392 |
| 23492 | 7590 | 06/20/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| ROBERT I | | | ZUCKER, PAUL A | | |
| ABBOTT L 100 ABBOT | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| DEPT. 377/AP6A | | | | 1621 | |
| ABBOTT P. | ARK, IL | 60064-6008 | DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | 10/667,358 | COMESS ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Paul A. Zucker | 1621 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-18,20 and 21 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. | withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/24/2004. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, 19 and 20 drawn to compounds and compositions thereof, classified, for example, in class 562, subclass 430.
- II. Claims 9-17 and 21, drawn to methods of inhibiting angiogenesis, and treating cancer classified, for example, in class 514, subclass 562.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. For example furnagillin as disclosed in the reference to Sin et al (PNAS, 1997, 94, pages 6099-6103) cited in the Information Disclosure statement of 24 February 2004.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

APPLICANTS ARE FURTHER REQUIRED TO MAKE AN ELECTION OF SPECIES

Application/Control Number: 10/667,358 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

Election Of Species

This application contains claims directed to the patentably distinct species of the claimed invention as set forth in the examples in the specification.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Claims 1 -21 are generic or subgeneric.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Art Unit: 1621

In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1621

1. During a telephone conversation with Greg Donner on 8 June 2006 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-8, 19 and 20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Applicants further elected the compound of Example 1, 5-ethyl-2-[(phenylsulfonyl)amino]benzoic acid. Claims 1-3 and 19 are readable thereon. Claims 4-18, 20 and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to
determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is
requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the
specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites the limitation "when A is phenyl" in the next-to-last line. It is unclear how A may represent phenyl (C₆H₅- when

Application/Control Number: 10/667,358 Page 6

Art Unit: 1621

at least two substituents are required. Claim1 and its dependents are therefore rendered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Borne et al (Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Anti-Inflammatory Activity of *para*-substituted N-Benzenesulfonyl Derivatives of Anthranilic Acid, 1974, 63(4) pages 615-617). NOTE: Because of its indefinite nature (discussed above) the final proviso is considered inoperative. Borne discloses (Page 6516, top , Table 1, entries 1-6) the compounds I-VI which are compounds of the instant invention. Borne further discloses (Page 6516, center, Table 2, entries 1-6) pharmaceutical compositions of the compounds I-VI and their pharmacological effects. Borne therefore anticipates claims 1 and 19.
- 5. Claims 1, 3 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Levin et al (US 5,929,097 07-1999). NOTE: Because of its indefinite nature (discussed above) the final proviso is considered inoperative. Levin discloses (Column, 89, lines 55-60 and column 92, lines 25-34, respectively) the compounds

2-[Benzyl-(4-methoxy-benzenesulfonyl)-amino]-3,6-dimethyl-benzoic acid and 5-Bromo-2-(4-fluoro-benzenesulfonylamino)-3-methyl-benzoic acid.

6. Claims 1 –3 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sirks (Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, Derivatives of *p*-Aminobenzoic Acid and Their Action on the Growth of Bacteria, 1953, 19, pages 166-170). Sirks discloses (Page 167, Table 1, 2nd to last entry) the compound 4-amino-2-sulfanilamido-benzoic acid and pharmaceutical compositions thereof for the treatment of bacterial infections. Sirks therefore anticipates claims 1 –3 and 19.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. The elected specie 5-ethyl-2-[(phenylsulfonyl)amino]benzoic acid is allowable. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The elected specie is free of the art.

Conclusion

8. Claims 1-21 are pending. Claims 1-3 and 19 are rejected. Claims 4-18, 20 and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Zucker whose telephone number is 571-272-0650. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-2:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,358 Page 8

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PAUL A. ZUCKER, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER